



Finanziato  
dall'Unione europea  
NextGenerationEU



Ministero  
dell'Università  
e della Ricerca



Italiadomani  
PIANO NAZIONALE  
DI RIPRESA E RESILIENZA

Finanziato nell'ambito del Piano Nazionale di Ripresa e Resilienza PNRR. Missione 4, Componente 2, Investimento 1.3 Creazione di "Partenariati estesi alle università, ai centri di ricerca, alle aziende per il finanziamento di progetti di ricerca di base"



**GRINS**  
FOUNDATION

## **GRINS – Growing Resilient, INclusive and Sustainable**

“9. Economic and financial sustainability of systems and territories”

*Codice Identificativo: PE00000018*

*Finanziato nell'ambito del Piano Nazionale di Ripresa e Resilienza PNRR  
Missione 4 – Componente 2*

**SPOKE 4**

**D4.4.2 – Policy briefs on debt sustainability and financial stability also under compound risk**

**February 2025**

# Green Bonds and Financial Stability

## A Strategic Asset Class for Sustainable Growth

Silvia Romagnoli, Amia Santini  
University of Bologna

### Executive Summary

Green bonds have emerged as a promising financial instrument that fosters environmental sustainability while offering potential portfolio diversification benefits. The article "The Beneficial Role of Green Bonds as a New Strategic Asset Class: Dynamic dependencies, allocation and diversification before and during the pandemic era" analyzes green bond market dynamics, their co-movements with traditional financial assets, and their role in asset allocation strategies from January 2014 to June 2021. The research highlights that green bonds provide risk diversification benefits, particularly during financial downturns, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite their promising features, full integration into investment strategies remains a challenge, as the market would benefit from an increased development, in terms of size and transparency.

Key findings suggest that:

- Green bonds enhance portfolio diversification, particularly through the Solactive Green Bond Index, which exhibits lower correlation with traditional corporate bonds.
- During periods of market distress (e.g., COVID-19 pandemic), green bonds demonstrated safe-haven properties, reducing overall investment risk.
- Portfolios constructed with the inclusion of green bonds prove preferable in terms of risk, in all periods under strategy and for all asset allocation strategies, while the superiority of returns depends on the allocation strategy.
- Investors with high risk aversion benefit most from incorporating green bonds into their portfolios.

## Context and Importance of the Issue

Green bonds are financial instruments designed to fund projects with a positive environmental impact. Since their first introduction in 2007, their market has expanded rapidly, driven by an increased awareness of climate-related risks and by a growing investor sensitivity towards sustainability. Given the significant volatility in traditional financial markets, particularly during crises, green bonds emerge as an alternative asset class that can mitigate risk while aligning with environmental objectives.

Over the last few years, a number of studies have focused on the financial properties of green bonds. The positive effect of this asset class on the issuer's stock price as well as on its environmental and financial performances has been highlighted in Flammer (2021) and in Tang and Zhang (2020). The existing literature on the subject of green bond and traditional (non-green) market co-movement includes Reboredo (2018) and Reboredo and Ugolini (2020), that find a strong link with the corporate and treasury bond markets and with currencies, and weak links with a number of traditional commodities, including energy prices. The study "The Beneficial Role of Green Bonds as a New Strategic Asset Class: Dynamic dependencies, allocation and diversification before and during the pandemic era" (Martiradonna et al. 2023) presents a comprehensive analysis of green bond diversification benefits, their co-movement with various market indices, and their implications for portfolio allocation. The research spans a seven-year timeframe, divided into four sub-periods, to examine the dynamic dependencies of green bonds before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Key results indicate that the two green-bond indices considered, the Bloomberg Barclays MSCI Green Bond Index and the Solactive Green Bond Index, show a significantly positive dynamic conditional correlation with the traditional corporate bond market in all sub-periods. They thus do not appear to be particularly helpful for diversification in this sector, but their even lower volatility makes them an appealing new asset class for conservative investors. The Solactive Green Bond Index provides greater diversification benefits compared to the Bloomberg Barclays MSCI Green Bond Index, as it negatively co-moves with all remaining sectors of the analysis: the global stock market, the energy commodity index, the airline industry, the healthcare sector, and the IT index. This diversification effect is particularly pronounced during market downturns, when green bonds act as a stabilizing asset.

When considering a variety of portfolio allocation strategies and of risk and performance measures, the difference between the two green indices was confirmed by the weights attributed by the various allocation strategies. As the Bloomberg Barclays MSCI Green Bond Index is slightly preferable to Solactive in terms of volatility, more weight was attributed to the Bloomberg Barclays MSCI Green Bond Index by strategies which prioritized variance reduction, while the Solactive Green Bond Index was selected exclusively when the aim was diversification maximization. This suggests that the diversification potential associated with the other green-bond index was absorbed by Solactive and by the non-green assets.

However, the Bloomberg Barclays MSCI Green Bond Index displays a weak positive co-movement with the sectors which had an outstanding positive performance during the pandemic.

Afterwards, two types of portfolios were constructed and compared: “green portfolios”, which included green bond indices together with a variety of traditional market indices, and “non-green portfolios”, which did not include green bonds. Green portfolios consistently outperformed non-green portfolios in terms of risk across all periods and for all investment strategies. They also exhibited lower losses during market downturns, across nearly all strategies, and delivered positive but relatively lower returns than non-green portfolios, during phases of market expansion.

The performance gap between green and non-green portfolios was particularly pronounced under strategies that prioritized risk minimization over return maximization, as these strategies allocated greater weights to the least risky assets, namely the two green bond indices. However, in the pre-pandemic period, incorporating green bonds enabled portfolios to achieve returns that were either superior to or on par with non-green portfolios, while incurring less risk.

During the pandemic, strategies that focused exclusively on minimizing portfolio variance, without considering returns, led to a significantly better performance of non-green portfolios in terms of value. Conversely, the mean-variance optimization strategy, which aims to maximize returns per unit of risk, resulted in substantially higher returns for green portfolios. When an additional risk measure was introduced to account for behavioral factors such as investor risk aversion, green portfolios once again proved to be consistently preferable to their non-green counterparts, all else being equal. The risk-reduction and diversification advantages offered by green indices remained robust across all strategies and time periods, including the extreme market conditions brought by the Covid-19 pandemic.

Overall, these findings underscore the critical role of green bonds in supporting financial stability and sustainability objectives. Policymakers and investors should take into account the strategic importance of green bonds in achieving both economic resilience and environmental goals.

## Policy Options and Analysis

### Option 1: Enhancing Market Integration through Regulation

- **Analysis:** Current voluntary standards, such as the EU Green Bond Standard, lack enforcement, creating uncertainty for investors.
- **Policy Implications:**
  - Strengthen regulatory frameworks to ensure transparency and credibility of green bond issuances.

- Encourage central banks and financial institutions to integrate green bonds into their reserve assets.

## Option 2: Promote International Coordination and Standardization

- **Analysis:** Divergent definitions and reporting standards hinder cross-border investment in green bonds.
- **Policy Implications:**
  - Establish global reporting frameworks to align with existing sustainability initiatives such as the Paris Agreement.
  - Facilitate cross-border issuance and investment through harmonized green bond taxonomies.

## Recommendations

### 1. Strengthen Regulatory Frameworks

- Implement binding green bond standards to enhance market credibility.
- Improve transparency in use-of-proceeds reporting.

### 2. Enhance International Collaboration

- Align global standards for green bond issuance and impact measurement.
- Support emerging economies in developing green bond markets.

## Implementation Considerations

- I. Regulatory Enforcement:** Ensure compliance with sustainability reporting standards.
- II. Stakeholder Engagement:** Collaborate with investors, regulators, and issuers to enhance market depth and liquidity.



## Conclusion

As emerging from Martiradonna et al. (2023), green bonds have become an integral part of sustainable finance, serving both as a tool for environmental investment and as a stabilizing element within diversified portfolios. Their capacity to mitigate financial risks and provide resilience during market fluctuations underscores their growing relevance in investment strategies. Regulatory enhancements, expanded financial incentives, and international collaboration will be critical in unlocking the full potential of the green bond market, ensuring its role in facilitating a smooth transition to a sustainable global economy.

## References

1. Flammer, C., 2021. Corporate green bonds. *J. Financ. Econ.* 142 (2), 499–516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3125518>.
2. Martiradonna, M., Romagnoli, S., and Santini, A., 2023. The beneficial role of green bonds as a new strategic asset class: Dynamic dependencies, allocation and diversification before and during the pandemic era. *Energy Economics* 120, 106587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106587>.
3. Reboredo, J.C., 2018. Green bond and financial markets: Co-movement, diversification and price spillover effects. *Energy Econ.* 74 (C), 38–50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.05.030>.
4. Reboredo, J.C., Ugolini, A., 2020. Price connectedness between green bond and financial markets. *Econ. Model.* 88 (C), 25–38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2019.09.004>.
5. Tang, D.Y., Zhang, Y., 2020. Do shareholders benefit from green bonds? *J. Corp. Finance* 61 (C), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.12.001>.