

WP1: Climate change mitigation and carbon emission reduction – Scenario analysis









Ministero



Objectives

- Analysis of the broader implications of policies to addres climate change
- Analysis of socio-economic and biophysical impacts of transition towards decarbonization
- Development of indicators and databases







Implications of decarbonization

- Identification of the effects of transition pathways on primary energy supply mix and composition of the electricity generation, distributional impacts
- Simulations of future energy demand
- Industry Trend on Decarbonization
- Quantification of marginal CO2 emission factors from European electricity generation





Instruments for decarbonization

- Interventions for landslide risk mitigation and their carbon emissions
- Mobility patterns and carbon emission
- Understanding of drivers of sustainable diets
- Carbon sequestration for different types of crops
- Climate literacy and attitudes toward climate-related issues





<u>Co-benefits</u> of decarbonization

 Co-benefits of climate change policies on reduced air pollution and mortality

Italiadomani

 Impacts of reduced air pollution on health and schooling outcomes Francesco Colelli (UNIVE and CMCC) and Enrica De Cian (UNIVE and CMCC)



Empirical impacts of climate change on energy systems: from demand to supply









Energy demand

- 1. identify the causal impact of short- and long-term adaptation
- 2. project the future long-term impacts on energy demand, along with additional fluctuations from weather shocks

Energy supply

- 1. identify the potential unavailability of thermal and hydro power generation units due to extreme weather, providing technology-specific responses
- 2. project impacts on thermal and hydro power generation

Empirical framework

Climate (c): 10-year moving average of the yearly observed weather (w).

$$c_{i,t} = \mathbb{E}_t w_{i,t} = \ell^{-1} \sum_{s=t-\ell}^{t-1} w_{i,s}$$

Weather anomaly (a): deviation of observed yearly w from c.

$$a_{i,t} = w_{i,t} - c_{i,t}$$



Empirical framework

Electricity and fossil fuel demand is estimated separately for each combination of sector *m* (Residential, Commerical, Industrial, Agricolture and Trasport).

• Uniform effect of climatic DDs and their anomalies

 $q_{i,t} = \gamma_1 c dd_{i,t}^C + \gamma_2 h dd_{i,t}^C + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{CDD}^l(\boldsymbol{\eta_1}^l + \boldsymbol{\rho_1}^l c dd_{i,t}^C) + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{HDD}^l(\boldsymbol{\eta_2}^l + \boldsymbol{\rho_2}^l h dd_{i,t}^C) + \pi q_{i,t-1} + \mu_i + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$

• Interaction effect of DDs climatic and anomalies with sectoral GDP per capita

$$q_{i,t} = cdd_{i,t}^{C}(\gamma_{1} + \omega_{1}y_{i,t}) + hdd_{i,t}^{C}(\gamma_{2} + \omega_{2}k_{i,t}) + \mathbf{aCDD}^{l}(\eta_{1}{}^{l} + \rho_{1}{}^{l}cdd_{i,t}^{C} + \xi_{1}y_{i,t}) + \mathbf{aHDD}^{l}(\eta_{2}{}^{l} + \rho_{2}{}^{l}hdd_{i,t}^{C} + \xi_{2}y_{i,t}) + \pi q_{i,t-1} + \mu_{i} + \tau_{t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

Energy demand: results



Estimated change on per capita energy demand (GJ/pc).

Differences between the shock of climatic (orange) and weather anomalies (blue) suggest an amplification of energy demand in the long-run (i.e. capital stock accumulation for adaptation).

Energy demand: results

Simulated variation in energy demand due to weather anomalies and climatic change in the historical period (1990-2019).



Cumulative additional energy consumption in the 1990-2020 period:

climatic changes

- 74 EJ electricity
- -125 EJ fossil fuels

Idiosyncratic variations in weather

- +10 EJ electricity
- -21 EJ fossil fuels

Energy demand: results



Global projected change in sectoral energy demand due to shifts in the climate around 2050 with respect to the historical climate, by sector and energy carrier.

Distribution of the change in total energy demand due to the effect of historical (grey) and future (orange and red) unexpected anomalies, with respect to the GCM-specific historical climate and average historical weather anomalies.

Energy supply: empirical framework

We study the potential unavailability of thermal power generation units due to extreme temperatures by developing a regression model based on outage information collected from 2018 to 2022 in Europe.

The dataset includes information of over 20.000 unexpected outages.

We consider as key dependent variable the occurrence of an outage in each power-plant, and identify the influence of daily maximum temperatures (t) and water runoff anomalies (r):

$$\Lambda(c_{i,t}) = \log\left(\frac{c_{i,t}}{1 - c_{i,t}}\right) = f(t_{i,t}) \cdot k + z(r_{i,t}) \cdot k + \psi k + \mu p + \nu m + \varepsilon$$

Energy supply: results



Estimated response function of outage probability depending on maximum daily temperatures and water runoff anomalies.

Daniele LEREDE, Valeria DI COSMO

Università degli Studi di Torino, Dipartimento di Economia e Statistica "Cognetti de Martiis"



Analysis of the long-term effects of the restrictions on trade with Russia on the European energy system











Italiadomani



1

Outline





Introduction and aim of the work

Within the framework of the European Green Deal to: Become a carbon-neutral continent by 2050 through a socially-fair transition

Italia**domani**

 Reduce by at least 55 % greenhouse gases emissions by 2030 with respect to 1990



levels and following Russia's invasion of Ukraine, the European Commission is implementing its **REPowerEU Plan** to **save energy**, **produce clean energy** and **diversify energy supplies**

> The **aim of this work** is to study the impact of **changing patterns in European energy supply** on **energy-intensive sectors** in **Net-Zero Emissions scenarios** up to **2050**







Energy system optimization models (ESOMs) provide tools to:

- Optimize energy supply and demand to satisfy specific end-use demands according to a minimum cost paradigm
- Analyze the role of innovative technologies
 Assess the feasibility of energy and
- climate policies in different scenarios
- Analyze the impact of policy constraints on energy-intensive supply and demand sectors





Ministero

ell'Università







is the first-of-a-kind open-database and open-software **ESOM** for **OECD Europe**

TEMOA-Europe inputs include a **database of > 1000 technologies** to build the **optimal energy system** over a **long time scale**





Main features of the analyzed scenarios

ell'Università

Ministero

Finanziato

dall'Unione europea

NextGenerationEU

- GHG emissions reduction: Fit for 55 by 2030 & Net-Zero Emissions (NZE) by 2050

Italiadomani



 Main decarbonization alternatives: renewables and nuclear, hydrogen, carbon capture, storage and utilization, afforestation

сн širgi GRINS



Italiadomani



Scenario tree









Italia**domani**





Results - Electricity generation mix:

- Abating emissions requires strong electrification of end-uses (+ 80% current levels)
- Development of CCS in power sector not crucial

Results - Total primary energy supply:

- The peak in fossil demand should be reached in the **before 2025**
- Biomass gains a large role by 2050







Results – CO_2 emissions



- Industrial decarbonization is helped by the adoption of CCS in the cement subsector
- Biofuels are fundamental for the industrial and the aviation sectors
- CO₂ emissions from hydrogen production with coal and gas w/ CCS are non-negligible by 2050
- Afforestation contributes to remove
 0.4 Gt CO₂ per year by 2050





Long-term effects of the restrictions on Russian natural gas imports



 The absence of Russian gas imports does not allow the shutdown of inland gas fields while the recent LNG supply contracts put in place are sufficient to contrast that setback



Conclusions and policy implications

• **TEMOA-Europe** is not able to compute **NZE by 2050 trajectories for**

Italia**domani**

 CO_{2eq} (CO₂ alone can be brought to net-zero) even if relying on a database of more than 1000 technologies

- Abating emissions is possible only considering a strong support from nuclear energy and renewables, especially biomass which can directly substitute fossil fuels in a wide range of applications
- The absence of Russian fossil imports represents a temporary setback and should not have relevant impacts on the decarbonization of the European energy system





Future insights

- Review of the biofuel production chain due to the expected large role of biomass as from the outcomes of this work
- Deep review of all the European policies related to the realization of the EU
 Green Deal to produce more detailed scenarios (e.g. concerning hydrogen)
- Endogenous implementation of price-elastic demands and technology learning curves





Long-term effects of the restrictions on Russian natural gas imports

Italiadomani



Russia has a marginal role in LNG supply

Decarbonization Report



WP1: Decarbonising hard-to-abate sectors



28



Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca





Decarbonising Fuels

Decarbonising Industries

Carbon Offsetting

Decarbonising Fuels BIOFUEL 5 mm mm A LOSS OF THE OWNER.

Decarbonizing fuels

Oil & Gas

In the oil & gas (O&G) sector, the direct and indirect impacts of refining, transport, flaring, extraction and use mean the industry contributes 15% of global emissions.

For direct emissions, there are three main approaches to decarbonization for heavy industries: material modification, process innovation and emission management.



Decarbonizing fuels

Oil & Gas

The most efficient methodology is typically selected based on assessment of carbon dioxide

savings weighed against costs:

Material modification includes integrating renewables, process innovation covers co-generation while emissions management also incorporates reducing leaks

- Leak detection and repair is amongst the most efficient approaches to abatement and has the potential to reduce emissions by 85%
- Process innovations center on eliminating routine flaring, encouraging co-generation, improving the energy efficiency of oil & gas plants by utilizing the power of advanced Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) and promoting enhanced energy recovery from gas turbines.

Decarbonizing fuels

Oil & Gas Climate Initiative

The Oil & Gas Climate Initiative, which represents

about 33% of global production, has set ambitious

abatement targets.

Its members are investing \$6.5 billion in low

carbon technology Research & Development

(R&D) with initiatives aimed at reducing the

average methane intensity of upstream oil & gas operations to less than 0.25% by 2025

from a baseline of 0.32%.

Leak detection and repair is amongst the most efficient approaches to abatement and has

the potential to reduce emissions by 85%



OIL AND GAS CLIMATE INITIATIVE

Hydrogen

Blue hydrogen

Overall, alternative fuel use

in heavy industries remains

limited but implementing

CCUS for hydrogen

production offers a way in

which to decarbonize.



"Blue" hydrogen is produced from fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal principally via steam methane reforming or autothermal reforming and uses CCUS to capture CO2 produced

Carbon capture in blue hydrogen applications, Revenue and capacity forecast, Global 2022-30

Hydrogen Green hydrogen

Implementing widespread green hydrogen production processes means:

removing 830 million tons of

annual CO2 emissions globally which stem from the use of

fossil fuels to produce H2;

greater and accelerated

efforts from stakeholders

across the value chain



To produce more than 18 megatons (Mt) of green hydrogen annually by 2030, an investment of more than **100 billion dollars** is required but only \$20b is currently committed to infrastructure development.

Hydrogen

Green hydrogen

Today, green

hydrogen accounts for only 0.1% of global production but has the potential to meet

24% of global energy

demand through

\$160b of financing

Green hydrogen roadmap global 2020-2050

2020	2040	2050
Green hydrogen accounts for only 0.1% of global hydrogen production	Global energy need increase by 30%	Green hydrogen meets about 24% of global energy demand

Hydrogen

Cost comparison The graph depicts the levelized cost of hydrogen production for various electricity generation

technologies.



While newly built nuclear plants are already cost-competitive with offshore wind and solar power, facilities which operate over time will offer the lowest-cost hydrogen production solutions via electrolysis. As a point of comparison, H2 production through SMR at gas prices of \$100 per MWh does not compare favorably. 37



.

111 910

Cement

The cement industry is estimated to release about 1 kg of carbon dioxide for every **Ikg of cement** that is produced. The average CO2 emissions per ton has decreased by 18% since the 1990s, mainly due to improvements in the efficiency of production plants and by switching to waste materials for energy



generation need, but the **average CO2 per ton still contributes about 6% of global emissions** With the demand for buildings and infrastructure set to rise due to rapid urbanization across the globe, the need for sustainable construction techniques is gathering pace.

Cement

Unlike other industries, the release of carbon dioxide in the cement sector is largely attributed to the chemical reactions.

During the manufacturing process itself and notably in the conversion of limestone (CaCO3) into calcium oxide (CaO) which is the primary precursor to cement CO2 is



emitted. It is chemically impossible to transform CaCO3 into CaO and then into cement clinker without emitting CO2.

Carbon captured can be reused in the manufacturing process or for other purposes and has the potential to reduce emissions by up to 95% to 99%

Steel

In the steel industry,

decarbonization has

not received any

focused investment

and the space still

contributes between

7% and 9% of global

emissions



Material modification includes leveraging co-products, process innovation covers direct

reduced iron while emissions management also incorporates CCUS

Electrolysis for iron ore reduction is gaining R&D interest for the long term

Steel

By reusing steel in the form of

scrap, the industry can

drastically reduce its carbon

footprint up to 100% across its

product life cycle. However,

the availability of scrap is

limited owing to the inherently

long life of steel products.



Energy consumption in the steel industry has already witnessed a 61% drop in the last 50 years.

Although there is still room for improving the efficiency of production processes, the potential for achieving further significant emission reductions is limited to 15% to 20%. $_{42}$



ĺЛ)

 \otimes

Offsetting

- Only if other approaches to
- decarbonization fail or are
- temporarely unavailable,
- offsetting offers market
- participants another way
- in which to reduce their

PRODUCED PRODUCED PRODUCED PRODUCED

CARBON

OFFSET

footprints.

Carbon offsetting forms part of a broader approach to emissions management in heavy

EMISSIONS

industries which is supported by emerging digital solutions

Offsetting is limited not only to production activities but also plays a key role in aviation

BY OFFSETING

Implications for Banks

- Banks will need to provide finance the rollout capital-intensive cutting-edge material modification, process innovation and emission management solutions
- In the meantime, banks are supporting innovation in heavy industries through a combination of direct and conventional commercial lending to start-up clients and indirect investments through dedicated vehicles.

Projects proliferating, technology improving – notably in respect of electrolyzers - and public sector support continuing (in the form of direct investment in the EU, via the Commission's Hy2Use scheme and the European Hydrogen Bank, and in the US, through hydrogen-dedicated tax credits stemming from the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and Inflation Reduction Act), determine, for investors, the role to assess which opportunities are bankable and which pose significant risk.



GRAZIE PER L'ATTENZIONE

anna.monticelli@intesasanpaolo.com

The contents of this document are the property of Intesa Sanpaolo and are protected by copyright and trademark laws. All rights reserved.

Therefore, without the prior formal consent of Intesa Sanpaolo, the aforementioned material cannot be copied, downloaded, reproduced, used on other Internet sites, modified, transferred, distributed or communicated to third parties except for personal use only; any commercial use is still prohibited.

The contents not owned by Intesa Sanpaolo but by third parties indicated from time to time may be used only in compliance with the rights of such third parties and the rules established by them, to which reference is therefore made.

While taking care in preparing the contents, Intesa Sanpaolo accepts no responsibility for any errors or omissions of any kind and for any type of direct, indirect or accidental damage deriving from reading or using the information published or any form of content present in the document or for access or use of the material contained in other linked sites.

GRINS spoke 6 meeting, Padova, 20-21 November 2023





Fit-For-55 and beyond: European power system transition and its social impacts

Alice Di Bella, Paola Rocchi



Framework and research question

Framework

2020 European Green Deal: two steps for practical implementation

- European Climate Law: legally binding target of climate neutrality by 2050
- Fit-For-55 (FF55) package: various policy instruments designed to reduce EU's greenhouse gas emissions by 55% by 2030 with respect to 1990

Research question

Analyse the transition pathway for the European energy system, focusing on the technical feasibility and social implications of the policy framework

- within the FF55 package and achieving climate neutrality
- with a particular focus on the Italian case

Literature review and aim

Literature review

Studies that assess possible pathways for the EU to achieve a carbon-neutral energy system by 2050 (energy models): Löffler et al. 2019, Victoria et al. 2020, Seck et al. 2022

• Lacking social aspects and implications on households and firms

Studies that assess macroeconomic and implications of FF55 (GEM)

• Not grounded on technical assessment of the transition pathway for the European energy system (Orecchia et al. 2023)

Aim

Linking the 2 approaches (EC. 2020), adding distributive considerations or a broader time perspective

Proposal

Link Energy System Optimization Model for the European Union **ESOPUS** and the general equilibrium model **FIDELIO**

ESOPUS



FIDELIO



Proposal

Link Energy System Optimization Model for the European Union **ESOPUS** and the general equilibrium model **FIDELIO**



Interim report

Focus

This interim report focuses on the implications of emissions reduction requirements for the European power sector

- Key contributor to EU GHG reduction
- Empowering transition in other sectors

Scenarios

	REF	FF55+			
ESOPUS	No climate policy	FF55 in 2030 , Net Zero CO2 emissions in 2050			
FIDELIO	for the energy sector	FF55+_no_red	FF55+_hous_red	FF55+_mix_red	
		FF55+, no revenues redistribution	FF55+, revenues to households	FF55+, revenues to households/government	

Results (1): CO2 emissions



7

Results (2): power generation by technology



Results (3): macroeconomic impact

Real GDP deviation from the REF scenario



Results (4): impact by quintile, Italy

Electricity share pp change



Consumer surplus loss (hous_red)

As % of income		2030	2040	2050		
	Q1	0.45	3.11	7.37		
	Q2	0.42	2.90	6.84		
	Q3	0.36	2.51	5.86		
	Q4	0.32	2.20	5.10		
	Q5	0.27	1.80	4.10		

Conclusions

Policy implications

Europe

- Positive trajectory towards FF55 intermediate step of a 55% emissions abatement even without explicit policy instruments
- Achieving emissions reduction targets for 2040 will require steadfast policy support and a focus on advancing relevant technologies
- Significant variations among European countries: addressing regional disparities is key to achieving an equitable transition

Conclusions

Policy implications

Italy

- Solar generation pivotal to achieve policy targets in the electricity sector
- 2030: ongoing technical transformation in line with the FF55 framework
- Pushing photovoltaics installation can increase the investments needed into the transition by 2040 and 2050: negative impact on GDP
- Stronger impact on lower-income households: the design of the policy's redistribution mechanism is pivotal in mitigating adverse effects

Conclusions

Caveat

 None of the 2 models addresses the aspect of political feasibility. Political choices made at the Union level might meet different resistances in different Member States. More vulnerable countries might need specific incentives and tailored instruments to boost co-benefits from the energy decarbonization

Next steps

- More comprehensive understanding of the complex relationships among the different policies of the FF55 package and the broader targets for other economic sectors and agents
- Improve the iterative linkage between our models for a more precise evaluations of policy impacts



Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca





Thanks for the attention!! Questions?