







Missione 4 Istruzione e Ricerca

Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs) of residential dwellings: Evidence from Lombardy, Piedmont and autonomous province of Trento



Caterina Behrens, Elena Giarda, Valentina Lo Passo and Demetrio Panarello

Naples, 27 October 2023









- Objectives
- Legislative background
- Literature
- Data
- Descriptive statistics
- Preliminary estimates









Objectives

- → The objective of our research is threefold
 - 1. To provide motivation for the use of Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs) in economic analysis
 - 2. To provide descriptive statistics of the characteristics of the energy efficiency levels of the dwelling stock in three Italian regions: Lombardy, Piedmont and the Autonomous Province of Trento
 - 3. To investigate the relationship between EPCs and house prices









Background: European legislation



the worst energy performance









Background: Italian legislation











Literature: the EPC-price nexus (1)

- → Scholars have extensively studied the **relationship between EPCs and house prices**
- With increasing awareness and concern about energy performance, EPCs are expected to positively influence consumers' choices and shift their preferences towards environmentally sustainable buildings
- → Most studies employ hedonic regressions, while others extend the analysis by applying spatial models
- Perhaps the first study in this direction is that of <u>Berry et al. (2008)</u> for **Australia** who apply a hedonic regression to investigate whether house energy ratings affect prices: ratings are found to be positively associated with price and to have a significant relationship
- Brounen and Kok (2011) implement a Heckman model to Dutch data; Cerin et al. (2014) find that EPCs contribute to property price premiums in Sweden. Similar results are found for other countries such as Fuerst et al. (2013) and McCord et al. (2020a and 2020b) for Wales, and Droutsa et al. (2016) for Greece
- Mudgal et al. (2013) perform a comparative analysis on Austria, Belgium (Flanders, Wallonia, Brussels-Capital), France (Lille, Marseille), Ireland, UK (Oxford, South East)









Literature: the EPC-price nexus (2)

- There is very limited evidence for Italy, since publicly available data on EPCs is scarce even more so on data linking EPCs and prices
- Fregonara et al. (2017) assess the impact of the EPCs on the real estate market of Turin, a city in the Northwest of Italy. They employ a hedonic price model and find that low EPCs were priced in the market although EPC labels explained only between 6% and 8% of price variation
- The study of <u>Bisello et al. (2020)</u> focuses on the real estate market of **Bolzano**, a city in the Northeast of Italy, and finds a price premium of around 6% on moving from the worst to the best energy efficiency class. Moreover, they also uncover spillover effects to nearby properties. Both hedonic price regressions and spatial models are employed









The data

- → Data sources:
 - Lombardy: CENED (https://www.cened.it/opendata-cened-2.0), 2015-2022
 - Piedmont: Regione Piemonte (https://www.dati.piemonte.it/#/catalogo), 2015-2023
 - Trento: Open data Trentino (https://dati.trentino.it/dataset/attestati-di-prestazione-energetica-trentino), 2013-2023
- → Access to Immobiliare.it data would be ideal
- \rightarrow We porform our analysis on **residential dwellings**

Region	No. of dwellings with EPC	Residential dwellings with EPC	Non-residential dwellings with EPC	% of total no. of residential dwellings (2021 Census data)
Lombardy	1 389 643	1 182 616	207 027	21.1%
Piedmont	533 398	474 935	58 463	17.0%
Trento	151 464	133 639	17 825	34.3%









Data cleaning and merging

- → The data cleaning steps are the following:
 - Textual cleaning of addresses, municipality names and corrections due to deletion/merging of municipalities
 - For instance, addresses now all have the following form: "Via/Viale/Piazza", "name" and "streeet number" (i.e., Via GiosuÃfÂ[¨] Carducci 24 → Via Giosuè Carducci 24)
 - Winsorisation at 0.1% and 99.9% of variables such as: "consumption of natural gas", "consumption of electricity", "CO2 emissions", "useful heated surface" to remove outliers
 - Geolocalisation of each dwelling to attribute them latitude, longitude and postcode
- > Merging with house price data by OMI zone:
 - OMI (Osservatorio Mercato Immobiliare Real Estate Market Observatory) zones are defined by Italy's Revenue Agency as portions of the municipal territory reflecting a homogeneous segment of the local real estate market, in which there is uniformity in the economic and socio-environmental conditions









EPC by type of dwelling



We concentrate on residential dwellings









EPC by climate zone











Reasons for filling in an EPC











Energy performance index (non-renewable) by energy class











Energy performance class: average by municipality











Age of the dwelling stock by province



> Dwellings built before 1960 are 30.8% and 46.4% in Lombardy and Piedmont, respectively; this percentage is 30,9% in the province of Trento

- > In Lombardy, Milan and Pavia are the provinces with the largest percentages of dwellings built before 1960 (36,7% and 36,9%, respectively)
- → In Piedmont, the highest percentage of dwellings built before 1960 is in Biella (56,4%)









Energy class by year of construction



LOMBARDY



PIEDMONT

TRENTO



→ Dwellings built after 2006 are more energy-efficient







s.e.

(46.772)

(51.48) (58.419) (45.664)

(82.999) (39.422)

(40.971)

(78.548) (51.399)

(39.472) (83.315)

(91.595) (29.838)



Hedonic price model (1): average sqm price and average EPC by zone

	Coefficient	s.e.		Coefficient	
Average EPC: B	-62.54	(60.452)	Lecco	-882.82***	
Average EPC: C	-418.63***	(60.902)	Lodi	-1038.28***	
Average EPC: D	-367.19***	(68.823)	Monza-Brianza	-401.82***	
Average EPC: E	-372.26***	(71.925)	Mantova	-1419.16***	
Average EPC: F	-480.56***	(71.702)	Novara	-634.44***	
Average EPC: G	-632.22***	(73.583)	Pavia	-1128.53***	
Alessandria	-885.06***	(80.562)	Sondrio	-870.65***	
Asti	-1034.46***	(82.826)	Torino	-584.43***	
Bergamo	-974.60***	(38.451)	Trento	71.28	
Biella	-1088.26***	(87.007)	Varese	-903.10***	
Brescia	-712.26***	(38.276)	Verbano-Cusio-Ossola	-519.68***	
Como	-670.05***	(43.378)	Vercelli	-726.99***	
Cremona	-1449.32***	(45.005)	Intercept	2077.28***	
Cuneo	-654.33***	(76.984)			
No. of obs.	5792				
Adjusted R-sq	0.417				

*: p<0.10, **: p<0.05; ***: p<0.01

Reference categories: EPC class A, Milano

Total no. of ob	os. = 5,792
-----------------	-------------

→ Coefficients: euro per square metre

Results

- Less energy efficient dwellings less expensive than those in class A (except class B, not significant)
- 2. Milan: most expensive province







s.e.

(1017.406)

(3191.674)

(1013.238)

(1270.251)

(685.752)

(988.132)

(3284.589)

(798.202)

(1248.726)

(3148.666)

(1052.254)

(659.218)

(3389.072)

(3533.861)

(304.059)

Coefficient

-177975.70***

-91641.08***

-135273.06***

-144906.78***

-91572.92***

-196354.56***

-107646.30***

-146996.07***

-90601.89***

-48947.93***

-21232.71***

-144005.59***

-59520.26***

-134044.58***

72946.51***



Hedonic price model (2): «individual» price and average EPC by zone

Cremona

Mantova

Novara

Sondrio

Torino

Trento

Varese

Vercelli

Intercept

Verbano-Cusio-Ossola

Pavia

Monza-Brianza

Cuneo

Lecco Lodi

	Coefficient	s.e.
Useful heated surface (in sqm)	2012.54***	(1.152)
Second homes	36767.85***	(987.298)
Climate zone: F	-12722.71***	(649.767)
Average EPC: B	1967.58	(1296.085)
Average EPC: C	-40605.13***	(1536.568)
Average EPC: D	-77126.28***	(2834.228)
Average EPC: E	-65833.83***	(3157.65)
Average EPC: F	-59970.11***	(3150.558)
Average EPC: G	-74287.89***	(3349.508)
Alessandria	-145966.01***	(3294.904)
Asti	-148646.31***	(3398.351)
Bergamo	-136429.50***	(619.26)
Biella	-157236.63***	(3483.087)
Brescia	-111858.61***	(580.819)
Como	-112739.58***	(780.913)
No. of obs.	1626066	
Adjusted R-sq	0.663	
*: p<0.10, **: p<0.05; ***: p<0.01		

Reference categories: main home, EPC class A, Milano

>	"Individual" price: for each dwelling,
	we have multiplied the average area
	price by the square metres of the
	dwelling

- → Coefficients: total cost in euro
- → Total no. of obs. = 1,626,066

Results

- Less energy efficient dwellings less expensive than those in class A (except class B, not significant)
- 2. Surface: average price per sqm
- Second homes more expensive than main homes
- 4. Milan: most expensive province









Conclusions

- → The Autonomous Province of Trento is the "greenest" region among those analysed
- → Piedmont has the oldest dwelling stock and therefore a higher percentage of energy-inefficient dwellings
- → Higher CO2 emissions in less efficient dwellings
- → Estimates: there is preliminary evidence that the lower the energy class, the lower the price
- → To do:
 - → Work on the specification of the hedonic price model to incorporate more variables
 - > Implement spatial models of the relationship between levels of energy efficiency and house prices
 - → Hope to get individual data from Immobiliare.it



Finanziato dall'Unione europea







Thank you!

Missione 4 • Istruzione e Ricerca









Bibliographic references (1)

- Berry, S., Marker, T., Chevalier, T. (2008) 'Modelling the Relationship between Energy Efficiency Attributes and House Price: The Case of Detached Houses Sold in the Australian Capital Territory in 2005 and 2006', 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings
- Bisello, A., Antoniucci, V., Marella, G. (2020), 'Measuring the price premium of energy efficiency: A two-step analysis in the Italian housing market', Energy & Buildings, Vol. 208, pp. 1-13
- Brounen and Kok (2011) 'On the economics of energy labels in the housing market', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, Vol. 62, pp. 166-179
- Cerin, P., Hassel, L. G., Semenova, N. (2014) 'Energy Performance and Housing Prices', Sustainable Development, Vol. 22, pp.
 404–419
- Droutsa, K. G., Kontoyiannidis, S., Dascalaki, E. G., Balaras, C. A. (2016), 'Mapping the energy performance of hellenic residential buildings from EPC (energy performance certificate) data', Energy, Vol. 98, pp. 284–295









Bibliographic references (2)

- → Fregonara, E., Rolando, D., Semeraro, P. (2017) 'Energy performance certificates in the Turin real estate market', Journal of European Real Estate Research, Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp.149-169
- Fuerst, F., McAllister, P., Nanda, A., Wyatt, P. (2013) 'Energy performance ratings and house prices in Wales: An empirical study', Energy Policy, Vol. 92, pp. 20-33
- McCord, M., Haran, M., Davis, P. (2020a) 'Energy performance certificates and house prices: a quantile regression approach', Journal of European Real Estate Research, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 409-434
- McCord, M., Lo, D., Davis, P. T., Hemphill, L., McCord, J, Haran, M. (2020b) 'A spatial analysis of EPCs in The Belfast Metropolitan Area housing market', Journal of Property Research, Vol. 37, No. 1
- Mudgal, S., Lyons, L., Cohen, F., Lyons, R., Fedrigo-Fazio, D. (2013) 'Energy performance certificates in buildings and their impact on transaction prices and rents in selected EU countries', Final Report, European Commission (DG Energy)









Energy performance classes and energy performance indicator

ENERGY PERFORMANCE CLASSES

Class A4			relative EPI	≤	0.40
Class A3	0.40	<	relative EPI	≤	0.60
Class A2	0.60	<	relative EPI	≤	0.80
Class A1	0.80	<	relative EPI	≤	1.00
Class B	1.00	<	relative EPI	≤	1.20
Class C	1.20	<	relative EPI	≤	1.50
Class D	1.50	<	relative EPI	≤	2.00
Class E	2.00	<	relative EPI	≤	2.60
Class F	2.60	<	relative EPI	≤	3.50
Class G			relative EPI	>	3.50

relative EPI = (EPgl,nren)/(EPgl,ref,standard)

where:

(1) EPgl,nren is the global non-renewable energy performance index
(2) EPgl,ref,standard is the reference standard non-renewable energy performance index. It is the performance index of a dwelling with the same geometric characteristics, exposure and location as the building to be certified but with energy performance parameters equivalent to a class A1









Average energy performance indicator

Average EPI = $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(relative EPI)_i * (useful heated surface)_i}{(useful heated surface)_i}$

